

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/769,751	02/03/2004	Norihito Hatakeda	Q79547	8924		
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 06/04/200 ION PLLC	8	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	BRIER, JI	BRIER, JEFFERY A			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		2628			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/04/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/769,751	HATAKEDA, NORIHITO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffery A. Brier	2628		

		Jeffery A. Brier	2628	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED 22 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) [	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
nave b under : set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 57 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the explaint of date of the in in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). SE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	lianna with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin two manths	of the date of
1	iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
_	<u>DMENTS</u>			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause
	a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);	
	<ul> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		I alam an almostificiam si	
'	appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
	NOTE: The changes to claims 4 and 5 raise new i			
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			PTOL-324)
=	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		npilant runonament (	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliittea iii a separate; t	initially filed differential fer	it canceling the
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ now the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		be entered and an e	planation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
	Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
в. 🗆 Т	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
_	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The argument concerning the Torborg reference regarding claim 1 is not persuasive because 1 orborg discusses the final result is the display of an image and 10-torborg covers the claimed "specifically identifies" since during rendering the display is that so cation identifying information, refer to pages 361-362 under the heading display image generation. For similar reasons the argument regarding claim 2 is not persuasive.

The arguments concerning the 35 USC 101 rejection of claims 8 and 15 have been fully considered, however, these claims do cover signals since claims are read in light of the specification but limitations are not read into the claims. Thus, the claimed "computerreadable medium for use in a computer and storing a program" covers the transmission medium between the server and the computer which is signals which were found to be non-statutory in In Re Nuijten. In re Nuijten, 84 USPQ2d 1495 (Fed. Cir. 2007) and In re Nuijten, 85 USPQ2d 1927 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

The Examiner in charge of Examining this application has changed to Primary Examiner Jeffery A. Brier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephon number is (571) 1272-7656. The examiner can normally be reached on MF-from 7:30 to 4:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (571) 272-7664. The fax phone Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

/Jeffery A. Brier/ Primary Examiner, Division 2628